

November 30, 2011
KPFHP Steering Committee Meeting
11 am – 12:00 pm
Location: USFWS Office, Soldotna

Present	Telephonic	Absent
Robert Ruffner, KWF	Peter Micciche, COS	John Lang, USFS
Jeff Anderson, USFWS	Sue Mauger, CIK	Brent Johnson, KPB
Ginny Litchfield, ADF&G	Ricky Gease, KRSA	
Rhonda Orth, KWF	Cecil Rich, USFWS	
Lisa Beranek, KWF Guest	Doug Limpinsel, NOAA	
	Marie McCarty, KHLT	
	Steve Zemke, USFS	

Special meeting today – finalize project selection for next meeting (Dec 6)
Also discuss CAP process for future meetings

Memo will go to regional director and then onto board with rankings from today
Expect less than \$90,000 --- approx \$5,000?

Proposals: CIK Temp --\$22,000
ARRI – Declined
National Hydrography Data Set
KRSA Symposium Spring 2013 -- \$25,000
Website Development?
CIK Science Based Land Conservation -- \$30,500

National Hydrography Data Set
Not expected to fund
This was entered as a “place holder”
Used to show need not funded

KRSA Symposium Spring 2013 -- \$25,000
Important for Partnership
Needs to be put in as a Partnership project through the coordinator - KWF
More appropriate for coordinator to manage
Symposium marketing should be as Partnership – not KWF
Good PR for the Partnership
Whole steering committee will be putting on
\$25,000 match required for 1:1
 KRSA match still available? Not appropriate to expect their match
 Plenty of match is available without KRSA matching funds
KWF will use KRSA proposal as template to resubmit
*All in agreement for KWF to submit new proposal for Symposium

Website Development
No proposal was submitted
Katrina (USFWS) can do without contracting out
 Working with the SE Partnership on website development now
 KPFHP can review to see if similar would work
 Responsibilities include working with partnerships
 Work directly with KPFHP as per the strategic plan
 Robert will contact Katrina
Needs own domain name
National board teleconference tomorrow regarding websites
 Cecil will sit in and report back

CIK Science Based Land Conservation -- \$30,500
Match is just over 1/3
Match requirements are by region – last year region as whole exceeded match
 Believe this can go forward without problems regarding match
Sue/Marie did a good job with a straight forward presentation
2 potential increases to match
 In-kind (discount) from watershed scientists
 Land trust meetings attendees time

Match need still approximately \$15,000

Total proposals to move forward = \$77,500

Need to get closer to the \$85-90K

Bump up Symposium: Keynote speaker? Advertising? Travel funds?

Put more money on the ground – CIK? Already not enough match

KPB has debris clean-up needs

Already has \$70-100K dedicated to project that would count as match

Can KPB submit a proposal?

Robert to contact John Czarnezki to suggest submit of \$10,000 proposal

2 proposals were submitted in MatSu format (8 pages) versus KPFHP format (4 pages)

Should the format change next year?

Short form (Attachment 1) works well for USFWS purposes

Issue is regarding Attachment 2 – more detailed?

Needs to be a uniform requirement for all proposals

Hard to whittle down to 4 pages – then frustrating to see 8 pages

Attachments for documentation allowed beyond the 4 pages?

*Discuss before next year's proposals and determine a standard requirement

CAP Process – Narrow the focus of the partnership efforts beyond the strategic plan

Could be part of Symposium presentation – but need to move forward NOW

Could possibly roll in work already done for an abbreviated process

Science committee? MatSu used the committee to do the CAP

Who in your organization could serve on this?

Bring peninsula agencies/NGO meeting to call for Science Committee

Using CAP it was unclear how high versus medium priorities were determined

NEXT MEETING – DECEMBER 6 – 11AM

Finalize projects to move forward

Nature Conservancy representative to speak to pros/cons of CAP Process